Parabolic Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$

Ivan Ip

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

March 16, 2021

Geometry, Number Theory, and Representation Theory Seminar,
University of Alberta

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$

Definition of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$

Definition

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$= *Hopf-algebra* $\langle E, F, K^{\pm 1}\rangle$ *over* $\mathbb{C}(q)$ *such that*

$$KE = q^2 EK, \qquad KF = q^{-2} FK, \qquad [E,F] = \frac{K-K^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$$

Coproduct:

$$\Delta(E) = 1\otimes E + E\otimes K, \qquad \Delta(F) = F\otimes 1 + K^{-1}\otimes F$$
$$\Delta(K) = K\otimes K$$

(Also counit ϵ*, antipode* S*)*

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$: $\quad (|q|=1)$

$$E^* = E, \qquad F^* = F, \qquad K^* = K$$

Definition of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$

Definition

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$= *Hopf-algebra* $\langle E, F, K^{\pm 1}\rangle$ *over* $\mathbb{C}(q)$ *such that*

$$KE = q^2 EK, \qquad KF = q^{-2} FK, \qquad [E,F] = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

Coproduct:

$$\Delta(E) = 1 \otimes E + E \otimes K, \qquad \Delta(F) = F \otimes 1 + K^{-1} \otimes F$$
$$\Delta(K) = K \otimes K$$

(Also counit ϵ*, antipode* S*)*

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$: $\quad (|q| = 1)$

$$E^* = E, \qquad F^* = F, \qquad K^* = K$$

Definition of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Dynkin.

Definition

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$= *Hopf-algebra* $\langle E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}\rangle_{i\in I}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}(q)$ *such that*

Cartan matrix

$$K_iE_j = q^{a_{ij}}E_jK_i, \qquad K_iF_j = q^{-a_{ij}}F_jK_i, \qquad [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}$$

+ Serre relations.
Coproduct:

$$\Delta(E_i) = 1\otimes E_i + E_i \otimes K_i, \qquad \Delta(F_i) = F_i\otimes 1 + K_i^{-1}\otimes F_i$$
$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i\otimes K_i$$

(Also counit ϵ*, antipode* S*)*

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$: $\qquad (|q|=1)$ $\qquad q^* := \bar{q}$

$$E_i^* = E_i, \qquad F_i^* = F_i, \qquad K_i^* = K_i$$

Definition of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Definition

$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g})$= *Drinfeld's Double:* $\langle E_i, F_i, K_i^{\pm 1}, K_i'^{\pm 1}\rangle_{i\in I}$

of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{b})$

$$K_iE_j = q^{a_{ij}}E_jK_i, \qquad K_iF_j = q^{-a_{ij}}F_jK_i, \qquad [E_i, F_j] = \delta_{ij}\frac{K_i - K_i'}{q-q^{-1}}$$

+ *Serre relations* + *Similar for* K_i'

Coproduct:

$$\Delta(E_i) = 1\otimes E_i + E_i\otimes K_i, \qquad \Delta(F_i) = F_i\otimes 1 + K_i'\otimes F_i$$
$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i\otimes K_i, \qquad \Delta(K_i') = K_i\otimes K_i'$$

(Also counit ϵ*, antipode* S*)*

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g})/\langle K_iK_i' = 1\rangle_{i\in I}$$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- = "Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- = "Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- = "Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Research program started in [Frenkel-I. (2012)]

- Representations by positive operators on Hilbert space.
- Generalization of Teschner's representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}))$
  - Closure under taking tensor product A_n: [Schrader-Shapiro 2018]
  - Braiding structure [I. 2012]
  - Peter-Weyl Theorem A_n: [I.-Schrader-Shapiro 2020]
- ="Quantization of principal series representations"
- Constructed for all semisimple Lie types.

Construction:

- Lusztig's total positive space $L^2((G/B)_{>0}) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^{N=\ell(w_0)})$
- Mellin transformation: $L^2(\mathbb{R}_{>0}^N) \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$
- $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ differential operator $\rightsquigarrow$ finite difference operator
- Quantization $\rightsquigarrow$ positive operators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

$e_i = (\frac{i}{q-q^{-1}})^{-1} E_i$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by $(q = e^{\pi i b^2},\ b \in (0,1)) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q-q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q-q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n=rank\mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$*
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)*
- *One can recover **any** finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by $(q = e^{\pi i b^2}, b \in (0,1))$

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q-q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q-q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n=rank\mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$*
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)*
- *One can recover **any** finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by $(q = e^{\pi i b^2}, b \in (0,1))$

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n = rank \mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$*
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)*
- *One can recover* ***any*** *finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by $(q = e^{\pi i b^2},\ b \in (0,1))$

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q-q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q-q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n=rank\mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$*
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)*
- *One can recover **any** finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by $(q = e^{\pi i b^2},\ b \in (0,1))$

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n = rank\, \mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$* $p = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ $\quad e^{2\pi b p} f(x) = f(x - ib)$
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)*
- *One can recover **any** finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by ($q = e^{\pi i b^2}$, $b \in (0,1)$)

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n = rank \mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$*
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)* — $b \leftrightarrow b^{-1}$
- *One can recover **any** finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Rescale generators by ($q = e^{\pi i b^2}$, $b \in (0,1)$)

$$\mathbf{e}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})E_k, \qquad \mathbf{f}_k = -i(q - q^{-1})F_k$$

Theorem (*I. (2012)*)

There exists a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$:

- *Parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}P^+ \simeq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n=rank\mathfrak{g}}$*
- *Positivity: $\{\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i\}$ are represented by positive, essentially self-adjoint (unbounded) operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$*
- *$\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are expressed in terms of Laurent polynomials of $\{e^{\pi b x_k}, e^{2\pi b p_k}\}_{k=1}^N$*
- *Characterized by modular double structure (Langland's duality)*
- *One can recover **any** finite dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by appropriate analytic continuation on λ.*

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

Coordinates on $(G/B)_{>0}$:

$$\underset{x_1(a)}{\begin{pmatrix}1 & a & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}}\underset{x_2(b)}{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & b\\0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}}\underset{x_1(c)}{\begin{pmatrix}1 & c & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}}\cdot\underset{e^{tF_2}}{\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & t & 1\end{pmatrix}}\qquad a,b,c>0$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & \\0 & \frac{t}{1+bt} & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1+bt & 0\\0 & 0 & (1+bt)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & a+abt & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & \frac{b}{1+bt}\\0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1 & c & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\\0 & 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^{tF_2}\cdot f(a,b,c)=(1+bt)^{2\lambda}f(a+abt,\frac{b}{1+bt},c),\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$F_2:=\frac{d}{dt}e^{tF_2}\Big|_{t=0}=ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a}-b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b}+b\lambda$$

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

Coordinates on $(G/B)_{>0}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&a&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&b\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&c&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&t&1\end{pmatrix}\qquad a,b,c>0$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&\frac{t}{1+bt}&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1+bt&0\\0&0&(1+bt)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&a+abt&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&\frac{b}{1+bt}\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&c&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$$

U^- T χ_λ x_1 x_2 x_1

$$e^{tF_2}\cdot f(a,b,c)=(1+bt)^{2\lambda}f(a+abt,\frac{b}{1+bt},c),\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$F_2:=\frac{d}{dt}e^{tF_2}\Big|_{t=0}=ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a}-b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b}+b\lambda$$

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

Coordinates on $(G/B)_{>0}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&a&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&b\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&c&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&t&1\end{pmatrix}\qquad a,b,c>0$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&\\0&\frac{t}{1+bt}&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1+bt&0\\0&0&(1+bt)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&a+abt&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&\frac{b}{1+bt}\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&c&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^{tF_2}\cdot f(a,b,c)=(1+bt)^{2\lambda}f\left(a+abt,\frac{b}{1+bt},c\right),\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$F_2:=\frac{d}{dt}e^{tF_2}\bigg|_{t=0}=ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a}-b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b}+b\lambda$$

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

Coordinates on $(G/B)_{>0}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix}1&a&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&b\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&c&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&0\\0&t&1\end{pmatrix}\qquad a,b,c>0$$

$$=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&\\0&\frac{t}{1+bt}&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1+bt&0\\0&0&(1+bt)^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&a+abt&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&\frac{b}{1+bt}\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&c&0\\0&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^{tF_2}\cdot f(a,b,c)=(1+bt)^{2\lambda}f(a+abt,\frac{b}{1+bt},c),\qquad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$F_2:=\left.\frac{d}{dt}e^{tF_2}\right|_{t=0}=ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a}-b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b}+b\lambda$$

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

$$F_2 = ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a} - b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b} + b\lambda$$

(Formal) Mellin transform: $\mathcal{F}(u,v,w) := \int f(a,b,c)a^u b^v c^w \, da\,db\,dc$

$$F_2 : \mathcal{F}(u,v,w) \mapsto (2\lambda + u - v + 1)\mathcal{F}(u, v-1, w)$$

Quantum Twist ($n \mapsto [n]_q$ + "Wick's rotation")

$$F_2 := \left(\frac{i}{q-q^{-1}}\right)\left(e^{\pi b(2\lambda+u-v+2p_v)} + e^{\pi b(-2\lambda-u+v+2p_v)}\right)$$

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

$$F_2 = ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a} - b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b} + b\lambda$$

(Formal) Mellin transform: $\mathcal{F}(u,v,w) := \int f(a,b,c)a^u b^v c^w dadbdc$

$$F_2 : \mathcal{F}(u,v,w) \mapsto (2\lambda + u - v + 1)\mathcal{F}(u, v-1, w)$$

Quantum Twist ($n \mapsto [n]_q$ + "Wick's rotation")

$$F_2 := \left(\frac{i}{q-q^{-1}}\right)\left(e^{\pi b(2\lambda+u-v+2p_v)} + e^{\pi b(-2\lambda-u+v+2p_v)}\right)$$

Example: $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

$$F_2 = ab\frac{\partial}{\partial a} - b^2\frac{\partial}{\partial b} + b\lambda$$

(Formal) Mellin transform: $\mathcal{F}(u,v,w) := \int f(a,b,c)a^u b^v c^w \, da\,db\,dc$

$$F_2 : \mathcal{F}(u,v,w) \mapsto [(2\lambda + u - v + 1)]_q \mathcal{F}(u, v-1, w)$$

$[n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}$

Quantum Twist ($n \mapsto [n]_q$ + "Wick's rotation") $\quad u \mapsto -\frac{i}{b}u$

$$F_2 := \left(\frac{i}{q-q^{-1}}\right)\left(e^{\pi b(2\lambda+u-v+2p_v)} + e^{\pi b(-2\lambda-u+v+2p_v)}\right)$$

The goal of this talk

Definition

Parabolic positive representations is a new family of positive representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ based on quantizing the parabolic induction representations on $L^2((G/P)_{>0})$, where $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup.

- It answers some combinatorial mysteries of quantum group embedding (cluster realization)
- Gives a new realization of the evaluation module of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

The goal of this talk

Definition

Parabolic positive representations is a new family of positive representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ based on quantizing the parabolic induction representations on $L^2((G/P)_{>0})$, where $P \subset G$ is a parabolic subgroup.

- It answers some combinatorial mysteries of quantum group embedding (cluster realization)
- Gives a new realization of the evaluation module of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$.

Quantum Cluster Variety

Quantum Torus Algebra

"Quantization of cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety" [Fock-Goncharov]

Definition

Seed $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, Q_0, B)$*:*

- Q = *nodes (finite set)*
- $Q_0 \subset Q$ = *frozen nodes*
- $B = (b_{ij})$ *exchange matrix* ($|Q| \times |Q|$, *skew-symmetric,* $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$*-valued)*

Quantum torus algebra $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ = *algebra generated by* $\{X_i\}_{i\in Q}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[q]$ *such that*

$$X_i X_j = q^{-2b_{ij}} X_j X_i$$

X_i = *quantum cluster variables*

Exchange Matrix $B \rightsquigarrow$ Quiver.

$$X_i X_j = q^{-2} X_j X_i,$$

Quantum Torus Algebra

"Quantization of cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety" [Fock-Goncharov]

Definition

Seed $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, Q_0, B)$:

- Q = *nodes (finite set)*
- $Q_0 \subset Q$ = *frozen nodes*
- $B = (b_{ij})$ *exchange matrix* ($|Q| \times |Q|$, *skew-symmetric*, $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$*-valued*)

Quantum torus algebra $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ = *algebra generated by* $\{X_i\}_{i\in Q}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[q]$ *such that*

$$X_iX_j = q^{-2b_{ij}}X_jX_i$$

X_i = *quantum cluster variables*

Exchange Matrix $B \rightsquigarrow$ Quiver.

$$X_iX_j = q^{-2}X_jX_i,$$

Quantum Torus Algebra

"Quantization of cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety" [Fock-Goncharov]

Definition

Seed $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, Q_0, B)$*:*

- Q *= nodes (finite set)*
- $Q_0 \subset Q$ *= frozen nodes*
- $B = (b_{ij})$ *exchange matrix* $(|Q| \times |Q|$*, skew-symmetric,* $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$*-valued)*

Quantum torus algebra $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ *= algebra generated by* $\{X_i\}_{i\in Q}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[q]$ *such that*

$$X_i X_j = q^{-2b_{ij}} X_j X_i$$

X_i = *quantum cluster variables*

Exchange Matrix $B \rightsquigarrow$ Quiver.

$$X_i X_j = q^{-2} X_j X_i,$$

Quantum Torus Algebra

"Quantization of cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety" [Fock-Goncharov]

Definition

Seed $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, Q_0, B)$*:*

- Q *= nodes (finite set)*
- $Q_0 \subset Q$ *= frozen nodes*
- $B = (b_{ij})$ *exchange matrix* ($|Q| \times |Q|$, *skew-symmetric,* $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$*-valued)*

Quantum torus algebra $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ *= algebra generated by* $\{X_i\}_{i\in Q}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[q]$ *such that*

$$X_iX_j = q^{-2b_{ij}}X_jX_i$$

X_i = *quantum cluster variables*

Exchange Matrix $B \rightsquigarrow$ Quiver.

$X_iX_j = q^{-1}X_jX_i$



$$X_iX_j = q^{-2}X_jX_i,$$

Quantum Torus Algebra

"Quantization of cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety" [Fock-Goncharov]

Definition

Seed $\mathbf{Q} = (Q, Q_0, B)$*:*

- $\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}$ = $\mathbb{Z}$*-Lattice with basis* $\{e_i\}_{i\in Q}$
- $(-,-)$ *skew-symmetric form,* $(e_i, e_j) := b_{ij}$.

Quantum torus algebra $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$*=algebra generated by* $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}[q^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ *such that*

$$X_{\lambda+\mu} = q^{(\lambda,\mu)} X_\lambda X_\mu$$

$X_i := X_{e_i}$, $\qquad X_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k} := X_{e_{i_1}+e_{i_2}+\cdots+e_{i_k}}$

Exchange Matrix $B \rightsquigarrow$ Quiver.

$q X_i X_j =: X_{i,j}$.



$$X_i X_j = q^{-2} X_j X_i,$$

Quantum Cluster Mutations

$\mathbf{T}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$:= (non-commutative) field of fractions of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Cluster mutation μ_k induces $\mu_k^q : \mathbf{T}_q^{\mathbf{Q}'} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$:

$$\mu_k^q(\widehat{X}_i) := \begin{cases} X_k^{-1} & i = k \\ X_i \prod_{r=1}^{|b_{ki}|}(1+q_i^{2r-1}X_k) & i \neq k, b_{ki} < 0 \\ X_i \prod_{r=1}^{b_{ki}}(1+q_i^{2r-1}X_k^{-1})^{-1} & i \neq k, b_{ki} > 0 \end{cases}$$

Can be rewritten as

$$\mu_k^q = \mu_k^{\#} \circ \mu_k'$$

$$\mu_k'(\widehat{X}_i) := \begin{cases} X_k^{-1} & i = k \\ X_i & i \neq k, b_{ki} < 0 \\ q_i^{b_{ik}b_{ki}} X_i X_k^{b_{ik}} & i \neq k, b_{ki} > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_k^{\#} := Ad_{\Psi_q(X_k)}$$

Ψ_q = quantum dilogarithm

Quantum Cluster Mutations

$\mathbf{T}_q^{\mathbf{Q}} :=$ (non-commutative) field of fractions of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$.
Cluster mutation μ_k induces $\mu_k^q : \mathbf{T}_q^{\mathbf{Q}'} \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$:

$$\mu_k^q(\widehat{X}_i) := \begin{cases} X_k^{-1} & i = k \\ X_i \prod_{r=1}^{|b_{ki}|}(1 + q_i^{2r-1} X_k) & i \neq k, b_{ki} < 0 \\ X_i \prod_{r=1}^{b_{ki}}(1 + q_i^{2r-1} X_k^{-1})^{-1} & i \neq k, b_{ki} > 0 \end{cases}$$

Can be rewritten as

$$\mu_k^q = \mu_k^\# \circ \mu_k'$$

$$\mu_k'(\widehat{X}_i) := \begin{cases} X_k^{-1} & i = k \\ X_i & i \neq k, b_{ki} < 0 \\ q_i^{b_{ik} b_{ki}} X_i X_k^{b_{ik}} & i \neq k, b_{ki} > 0 \end{cases}$$

$\mu_k^\# := Ad_{\Psi_q(X_k)}$

$\Psi_q =$ quantum dilogarithm (non compact version ⟹ unitary transform)

Polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$

Recall $q = e^{\pi i b^2}$ such that $|q| = 1$.

Definition

A polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a choice of representation of the cluster variables $X_k \in \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ of the form $X_k = e^{2\pi b x_k}$ such that

- *x_j is self-adjoint*
- *x_k satisfies the Heisenberg algebra relations*

$$[x_j, x_k] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} b_{jk},$$

acting on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark

Modular double $\widehat{X}_k$ acts by $X_k^{2\pi b^{-1} x_k}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$

Recall $q = e^{\pi i b^2}$ such that $|q| = 1$.

Definition

A polarization *of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a choice of representation of the cluster variables $X_k \in \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$ of the form $X_k = e^{2\pi b x_k}$ such that*

- *x_j is self-adjoint*
- *x_k satisfies the Heisenberg algebra relations*

$$[x_j, x_k] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} b_{jk},$$

acting on some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}} \simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Remark

Modular double $\widehat{X}_k$ acts by $X_k^{2\pi b^{-1} x_k}$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

Polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$

Example

For $X_1X_2 = q^2X_2X_1$, *we have*

$$X_1 = e^{2\pi b x}$$
$$X_2 = e^{2\pi b p}$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *where* $p = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{dx}$.

① $\mathcal{W} = \{e^{-\alpha x^2+\beta x}\,\mathrm{Poly}(x)\}$

② Int. rep:

$X_1^{is}X_2^{it} = q^{-2st}X_2^{it}X_1^{is}$ $\forall s,t\in\mathbb{R}$.

Proposition

- *Different polarizations (with the same central characters) are unitary equivalent (via* $Sp(2N)$*-action)*
- *Cluster mutations* $\longleftrightarrow$ *unitary transformation on* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$

Polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$

Example

For $X_1X_2 = q^2X_2X_1$, *we have*

$$X_1 = e^{2\pi bx}$$
$$X_2 = e^{2\pi bp}$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *where* $p = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{dx}$.

Proposition

- *Different polarizations (with the same central characters) are unitary equivalent (via* $Sp(2N)$*-action)*
- *Cluster mutations* $\longleftrightarrow$ *unitary transformation on* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$

Polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$

Example

For $X_1X_2 = q^2X_2X_1$, *we have*

$$X_1 = e^{2\pi b x}$$
$$X_2 = e^{2\pi b p}$$

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, *where* $p = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\frac{d}{dx}$.

Proposition

- *Different polarizations (with the same central characters) are unitary equivalent (via* $Sp(2N)$*-action)*
- *Cluster mutations* $\longleftrightarrow$ *unitary transformation on* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{Q}}$

Quantum cluster variety

S=Riemann surface with marked points on ∂S and punctures.

Fock-Goncharov's $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$-space= "(framed) local G-system"

- $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$ has Poisson cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety structure $\rightsquigarrow$ quantization $\mathcal{X}^q_{G,S}$
- To each triangle of ideal triangulation of S, assign a basic quiver.
- $G = PGL_{n+1}$: "n-triangulation"

(Full generality: [I. (2016)], [Le (2016)], [Goncharov-Shen (2019)])

Quantum cluster variety

S=Riemann surface with marked points on ∂S and punctures.
Fock-Goncharov's $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$-space= "(framed) local G-system"

- $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$ has Poisson cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety structure $\rightsquigarrow$ quantization $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}^q$
- To each triangle of ideal triangulation of S, assign a basic quiver.
- $G = PGL_{n+1}$: "n-triangulation"

(Full generality: [I. (2016)], [Le (2016)], [Goncharov-Shen (2019)])

Quantum cluster variety

S=Riemann surface with marked points on ∂S and punctures.

Fock-Goncharov's $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$-space= "(framed) local G-system"

- $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$ has Poisson cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety structure $\rightsquigarrow$ quantization $\mathcal{X}^q_{G,S}$
- To each triangle of ideal triangulation of S, assign a basic quiver.
- $G = PGL_{n+1}$: "n-triangulation"

$Q_{\mathfrak{sl}_4}$

(Full generality: [I. (2016)], [Le (2016)], [Goncharov-Shen (2019)])

Quantum cluster variety

S=Riemann surface with marked points on ∂S and punctures.
Fock-Goncharov's $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$-space= "(framed) local G-system"

- $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$ has Poisson cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety structure $\rightsquigarrow$ quantization $\mathcal{X}^q_{G,S}$
- To each triangle of ideal triangulation of S, assign a basic quiver.
- $G = PGL_{n+1}$: "n-triangulation"



(Full generality: [I. (2016)], [Le (2016)], [Goncharov-Shen (2019)])

Quantum cluster variety

S=Riemann surface with marked points on ∂S and punctures.
Fock-Goncharov's $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$-space= "(framed) local G-system"

- $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}$ has Poisson cluster $\mathcal{X}$ variety structure $\rightsquigarrow$ quantization $\mathcal{X}_{G,S}^q$
- To each triangle of ideal triangulation of S, assign a basic quiver.
- $G = PGL_{n+1}$: "n-triangulation"



(Full generality: [I. (2016)], [Le (2016)], [Goncharov-Shen (2019)])

Basic Quiver

[I. (2016), Goncharov-Shen (2019)]

Definition

Elementary quiver

- $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_k(i)$, $i, k \in I$
- $Q = Q_0 = (I \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{i_l\} \cup \{i_r\} \cup \{k_e\}$

$$c_{i_l,j} = c_{j,i_r} = \frac{a_{ij}}{2}, \qquad c_{i,i_r} = c_{i_r,k_e} = c_{k_e,i_l} = 1$$

- $\overline{\mathbf{J}}(i)$: *without* $\{k_e\}$.



Basic Quiver

[I. (2016), Goncharov-Shen (2019)]

Definition

Elementary quiver

- $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{i})$, $\quad \mathbf{i} = (i_1, ..., i_m)$ *reduced words*
- $Q = I$

$$c_{ij} := \begin{cases} \mathrm{sgn}(r-s)\frac{a_{ij}}{2} & \beta_s = \alpha_i \textit{ and } \beta_r = \alpha_j \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\beta_j := s_{i_m} s_{i_{m-1}} \cdots s_{i_{j+1}}(\alpha_{i_j})$, $\quad \alpha_i \in \Delta_+$
- *(If $\mathbf{i} = \mathbf{i}_0$, orientation of Dynkin diagram)*

$\mathbf{i}_0 : (121321)$

$\alpha_1 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 < \alpha_3$

$H(\mathbf{i}_0)$

Basic Quiver

[I. (2016), Goncharov-Shen (2019)]

Definition

Basic Quiver

- $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i})$, $\quad \mathbf{i} = (i_1, ..., i_m)$ *reduced words*
- $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{J}^{\#}_{\mathbf{i}}(i_1) * \mathbf{J}^{\#}_{\mathbf{i}}(i_2) * \cdots * \mathbf{J}^{\#}_{\mathbf{i}}(i_m) * \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{i})$
- $\mathbf{J}^{\#}_{\mathbf{i}}(i_j) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathbf{J}}_k(i_j) & \textit{if } \beta_j = \alpha_k \\ \mathbf{J}(i_j) & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Basic Quiver

$H(\bar{i}) = \cdot$

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4$, $\mathbf{i} = (3, 2, 1)$.

$\beta_1 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3$
$\beta_2 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$
$\beta_3 = \alpha_1$



$\mathbf{J}(3)$ $\mathbf{J}(2)$ $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_1(1)$ $\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i})$

Basic Quiver

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_4, \qquad \mathbf{i}_0 = (3, 2, 1, 3, 2, 3).$



$Q_{\mathfrak{sl}_4}$

Example: Type A_n Case





Amalgamation of 2 quivers

Example: Type A_n Case



$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}$-quiver $\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot := \mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}}$ [Schrader-Shapiro]

$$\iota : \mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot$$

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot / \langle \iota(K_i)\iota(K_i') = 1 \rangle$$

Example: Type A_n Case



$q X_1 X_2$

Embedding of $F_i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\odot}$

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = X_1 + X_{1,2} + X_{1,2,3} + X_{1,2,3,4} + X_{1,2,3,4,5} + X_{1,2,3,4,5,6}$$

$\in \mathbb{C}[q][X_i]$

$$\mathbf{f}_2 = X_8 + X_{8,9} + X_{8,9,10} + X_{8,9,10,11}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_3 = X_{13} + X_{13,14}$$

$$K_1' = X_{1,2,3,4,5,6,7} \qquad K_2' = X_{8,9,10,11,12} \qquad K_3' = X_{13,14,15}$$

Example: Type A_n Case



Embedding of $E_i \in \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{sl}_4} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\odot}$

$\mathbf{e}_1 = X_7 + X_{7,16}$

$\mathbf{e}_2 = X_{12} + X_{12,6} + X_{12,6,17} + X_{12,6,17,2}$

$\mathbf{e}_3 = X_{15} + X_{15,11} + X_{15,11,5} + X_{15,11,5,18} + X_{15,11,5,18,3} + X_{15,11,5,18,3,9}$

$K_1 = X_{7,16,1} \qquad K_2 = X_{12,6,17,2,8} \qquad K_3 = X_{15,11,5,18,3,9,13}$

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Theorem (*Schrader-Shapiro, I. (2016)*)

- *There exists an embedding*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot$$

corresponding to the quiver $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ associated to

- *We recover the positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{H}_\bigodot$ through a polarization of $\mathcal{X}_\odot$.*

Theorem (*I. (2016)*)

- *The generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are represented by positive polynomials (i.e. over $\mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$) in the cluster variables $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_\odot$.*
- *The generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are labeled by paths on the $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ quiver.*
- *$\mathbf{f}_i$ paths are simple - coincide with Feigin's homomorphism.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Theorem (*Schrader-Shapiro, I. (2016)*)

- *There exists an embedding*

g general type

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot$$

corresponding to the quiver $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ *associated to* 

- *We recover the positive representations* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{H}_\odot$ *through a polarization of* $\mathcal{X}_\odot$.

Theorem (*I. (2016)*)

- *The generators* $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ *are represented by positive polynomials (i.e. over* $\mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$*) in the cluster variables* $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_\odot$.
- *The generators* $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ *are labeled by paths on the* $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ *quiver.*
- $\mathbf{f}_i$ *paths are simple - coincide with Feigin's homomorphism.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Theorem (*Schrader-Shapiro, I. (2016)*)

- *There exists an embedding*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot$$

corresponding to the quiver $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ associated to 

- *We recover the positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{H}_\odot$ through a polarization of $\mathcal{X}_\odot$.*

Theorem (*I. (2016)*)

- *The generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are represented by positive polynomials (i.e. over $\mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$) in the cluster variables $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_\odot$.*
- *The generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are labeled by paths on the $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ quiver.*
- *$\mathbf{f}_i$ paths are simple - coincide with Feigin's homomorphism.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Theorem (*Schrader-Shapiro, I. (2016)*)

- *There exists an embedding*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot$$

corresponding to the quiver $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ *associated to* 

- *We recover the positive representations* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{H}_\odot$ *through a polarization of* $\mathcal{X}_\odot$.

Theorem (*I. (2016)*)

- *The generators* $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ *are represented by positive polynomials (i.e. over* $\mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$*) in the cluster variables* $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_\odot$.
- *The generators* $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ *are labeled by paths on the* $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ *quiver.*
- $\mathbf{f}_i$ *paths are simple - coincide with Feigin's homomorphism.*

Positive Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$

Theorem (*Schrader-Shapiro, I. (2016)*)

- *There exists an embedding*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\odot$$

corresponding to the quiver $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ associated to 

- *We recover the positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda \simeq \mathcal{H}_\odot$ through a polarization of $\mathcal{X}_\odot$.*

Theorem (*I. (2016)*)

- *The generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are represented by positive polynomials (i.e. over $\mathbb{N}[q, q^{-1}]$) in the cluster variables $X_i \in \mathcal{X}_\odot$.*
- *The generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, K_i$ are labeled by paths on the $\mathcal{D}_\mathfrak{g}$ quiver.*
- *$\mathbf{f}_i$ paths are simple - coincide with Feigin's homomorphism.* $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{n}^-) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\langle T\rangle$

E_6 embedding

$\mathbf{i}_0 = (3\ 43\ 034\ 230432\ 12340321\ 5432103243054321)$



E_6 embedding

$\mathbf{i}_0 = (3\ 43\ 034\ 230432\ 12340321\ 5432103243054321)$



Minimal Positive Representation for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1,\mathbb{R}))$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j \in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4$, $J = \{1, 2\} \subset I = \{1, 2, 3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b, c > 0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n \cdot h \cdot x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n \in U_-, h \in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j \in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4$, $J = \{1,2\} \subset I = \{1,2,3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b, c > 0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n \cdot h \cdot x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n \in U_-, h \in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j \in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4$, $J = \{1,2\} \subset I = \{1,2,3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b, c > 0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n \cdot h \cdot x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n \in U_-, h \in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j\in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4,\ J = \{1,2\} \subset I = \{1,2,3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a,b,c>0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n\cdot h\cdot x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n\in U_-, h\in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j \in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4$, $J = \{1,2\} \subset I = \{1,2,3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b, c > 0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n \cdot h \cdot x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n \in U_-, h \in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j \in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4$, $J = \{1,2\} \subset I = \{1,2,3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b, c > 0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n \cdot h \cdot x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n \in U_-, h \in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

- Parabolic subgroups $\longleftrightarrow J \subset I$
- $P_J := B_- L_J$, Levi subgroup $L_J = \langle T, U_j^+, U_j^- \rangle_{j \in J}$
- $P_\emptyset := B_-$.

Example

For $G = SL_4$, $J = \{1,2\} \subset I = \{1,2,3\}$

$$P_J = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(G/P_J)_{>0} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a, b, c > 0$$

$$x_3(c)x_2(b)x_1(a)e^{tX} = n \cdot h \, x_1(f')x_2(e')x_1(d')x_3(c')x_2(b')x_1(a'), \qquad n \in U_-, h \in T$$

Minimal Positive Representation

Previous recipe produces a representation $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(4,\mathbb{R}))$, $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{e}_1) &= e^{\pi b(u-2p_u)} + e^{\pi b(-u-2p_u)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{e}_2) &= e^{\pi b(-u+v-2p_v)} + e^{\pi b(u-v-2p_v)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{e}_3) &= e^{\pi b(-v+w-2p_w)} + e^{\pi b(v-w-2p_w)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{f}_1) &= e^{\pi b(-u+v+2p_u)} + e^{\pi b(u-v+2p_u)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{f}_2) &= e^{\pi b(-v+w+2p_v)} + e^{\pi b(v-w+2p_v)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{f}_3) &= e^{\pi b(2\lambda-w+2p_w)} + e^{\pi b(-2\lambda+w+2p_w)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{K}_1) &= e^{\pi b(-2u+v)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{K}_2) &= e^{\pi b(u-2v+w)}\\
\pi_\lambda^J(\mathbf{K}_3) &= e^{\pi b(v-2w+2\lambda)}
\end{aligned}$$

X_i

acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as positive self-adjoint operators.

Minimal Positive Representation



$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{i}) := \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}^{op}) * \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}), \qquad \mathbf{i} = (3,2,1)$$

$$e_1 = X_3 + X_{3,0} \qquad K_1 = X_{3,0,1}$$
$$e_2 = X_6 + X_{6,2} \qquad K_2 = X_{6,2,4}$$
$$e_3 = X_9 + X_{9,5} \qquad K_3 = X_{9,5,7}$$
$$f_1 = X_1 + X_{1,2} \qquad K_1' = X_{1,2,3}$$
$$f_2 = X_4 + X_{4,5} \qquad K_2' = X_{4,5,6}$$
$$f_3 = X_7 + X_{7,8} \qquad K_3' = X_{7,8,9}$$

Central character: $\pi(X_{0,2,5,8}) = e^{-4\pi b\lambda}$

Minimal Positive Representation

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

The polarization of the quiver $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{i})$ *for* $\mathbf{i} = (n, ..., 3, 2, 1)$ *gives a representation* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R}))$ *acting on* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *as positive self-adjoint operators.*



Minimal Positive Representation

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *The non-simple generators*

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha := T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\mathbf{e}_k)$$ pos. self ad.

$$\mathbf{f}_\alpha := T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\mathbf{f}_k)$$

is non-zero, where T_i = Lusztig's braid group action.

- *The universal $\mathcal{R}$ operator is well-defined*

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K}\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_+} g_b(\mathbf{e}_\alpha\otimes\mathbf{f}_\alpha)$$

- *The Casimirs $\mathbf{C}_k$ acts by real-valued scalar, and lie outside the positive spectrum of the usual positive representations.*

Minimal Positive Representation

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *The non-simple generators*

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\mathbf{e}_k)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_\alpha := T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\mathbf{f}_k)$$

is non-zero, where T_i = *Lusztig's braid group action.*

- *The universal* $\mathcal{R}$ *operator is well-defined*

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K} \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+} g_b(\mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{f}_\alpha)$$

↑ quantum. dilog.

- *The Casimirs* $\mathbf{C}_k$ *acts by real-valued scalar, and lie outside the positive spectrum of the usual positive representations.*

Minimal Positive Representation

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *The non-simple generators*

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha := T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\mathbf{e}_k)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_\alpha := T_{i_1}\cdots T_{i_{k-1}}(\mathbf{f}_k)$$

is non-zero, where T_i = Lusztig's braid group action.

- *The universal $\mathcal{R}$ operator is well-defined*

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{K}\prod_{\alpha\in\Phi_+} g_b(\mathbf{e}_\alpha\otimes\mathbf{f}_\alpha)$$

- *The Casimirs $\mathbf{C}_k$ acts by real-valued scalar, and lie outside the positive spectrum of the usual positive representations.*

Casimirs

Example

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3,\mathbb{R}))$, *the possible action of* $(\mathbf{C}_1, \mathbf{C}_2)$ *(by scalars) on* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda$ *and* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$:



Evaluation Module of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$



Evaluation Module of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$



Evaluation Module of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$



Evaluation Module of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

The positive representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$ *defined by the polarization of the previous quiver is unitarily equivalent to Jimbo's evaluation module* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^\mu$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$

$\hat{E} \mapsto E$

$$\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$$

of the minimal positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$, *where*

$$e^{\pi b \mu} := \pi(D_0^{\frac{1}{n+1}} D_1)$$

(D_0=product of all middle vertices, D_1= product of all right vertices.)

Positive representation of $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$

Example



$$\mathbf{f}_0 = X_1 + X_{1,2} \qquad \mathbf{e}_0 = X_3 + X_{3,5}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_1 = X_4 + X_{4,5} \qquad \mathbf{e}_1 = X_6 + X_{6,2}$$

Serre relation $(a_{01} = a_{10} = -2)$:

$$X_i^3 X_j - [3]_q X_i^2 X_j X_i + [3]_q X_i X_j X_i^2 - X_j X_i^3 = 0, \qquad i \neq j$$

General Construction

Main Theorem

Parabolic induction $\longleftrightarrow$ truncating $\mathbf{i}_J \subset \mathbf{i}_0$ where $\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{i}_0$ are the longest word of the Weyl groups $W_J \subset W$.

$$w_0 = w_J \overline{w}$$

$$\overline{w} \longleftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{i}}$$

Example

$$W_{\mathfrak{sl}_4} \subset W_{\mathfrak{sl}_5}$$

$$\mathbf{i}_0 = (1,2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1)$$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J) * \mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$$

In general, we have realization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ on the quantum torus algebra associated to the symplectic double $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$.

Main Theorem

Parabolic induction $\longleftrightarrow$ truncating $\mathbf{i}_J \subset \mathbf{i}_0$ where $\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{i}_0$ are the longest word of the Weyl groups $W_J \subset W$.

$$w_0 = w_J \overline{w}$$

$$\overline{w} \longleftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{i}}$$

Example

$$W_{\mathfrak{sl}_4} \subset W_{\mathfrak{sl}_5}$$

$$\mathbf{i}_0 = (1, 2, 1, 3, 2, 1, 4, 3, 2, 1)$$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J) * \mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$$

In general, we have realization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ on the quantum torus algebra associated to the symplectic double $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$.

Main Theorem

Parabolic induction $\longleftrightarrow$ truncating $\mathbf{i}_J \subset \mathbf{i}_0$ where $\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{i}_0$ are the longest word of the Weyl groups $W_J \subset W$.

$$w_0 = w_J \overline{w}$$

$$\overline{w} \longleftrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{i}}$$

Example

$$W_{\mathfrak{sl}_4} \subset W_{\mathfrak{sl}_5}$$

$$\mathbf{i}_0 = (1,2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1)$$

i_J $\bar{i}$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0) = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J) * \mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$$

In general, we have realization of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}})$ on the quantum torus algebra associated to the symplectic double $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$.

Main Theorem

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *There is a homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$$

such that the image of universally Laurent polynomials.

- *A polarization of $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ induces a family of irreducible representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ parametrized by $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{|I \setminus J|}$ as positive self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{l(\overline{w})})$.*

Corollary

The parabolic positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ is obtained as a quantum twist of the parabolic induction, by ignoring the variables u_i corresponding to the Levi subgroups L_J of P_J in the quotient G/P_J.

$$\Longleftrightarrow \text{ setting formally } e^{\pi b u_i} = 1 \text{ and } e^{\pm \pi b p_i} = 0.$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *There is a homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$$

such that the image of universally Laurent polynomials.

- *A polarization of* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *induces a family of irreducible representations* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ *parametrized by* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{|I\setminus J|}$ *as positive self-adjoint operators on* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{l(\overline{w})})$.

Corollary

The parabolic positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *is obtained as a quantum twist of the parabolic induction, by ignoring the variables* u_i *corresponding to the Levi subgroups* L_J *of* P_J *in the quotient* G/P_J.

$$\Longleftrightarrow \text{ setting formally } e^{\pi b u_i} = 1 \text{ and } e^{\pm \pi b p_i} = 0.$$

Main Theorem

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *There is a homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$$

such that the image of universally Laurent polynomials.

- *A polarization of* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *induces a family of irreducible representations* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ *parametrized by* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{|I\setminus J|}$ *as positive self-adjoint operators on* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{l(\overline{w})})$.

Corollary

The parabolic positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *is obtained as a quantum twist of the parabolic induction, by ignoring the variables* u_i *corresponding to the Levi subgroups* L_J *of* P_J *in the quotient* G/P_J.

$\Longleftrightarrow$ *setting formally* $e^{\pi b u_i} = 1$ *and* $e^{\pm \pi b p_i} = 0$.

Main Theorem

Theorem (*I. (2020)*)

- *There is a homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$$

  such that the image of universally Laurent polynomials.

- *A polarization of* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *induces a family of irreducible representations* $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *of* $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{R})$ *parametrized by* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{|I\setminus J|}$ *as positive self-adjoint operators on* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{l(\overline{w})})$.

Corollary

The parabolic positive representations $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J$ *is obtained as a quantum twist of the parabolic induction, by ignoring the variables* u_i *corresponding to the Levi subgroups* L_J *of* P_J *in the quotient* G/P_J.

$$\Longleftrightarrow \text{ setting formally } e^{\pi b u_i} = 1 \text{ and } e^{\pm \pi b p_i} = 0.$$

Idea of Proof

Definition

The Heisenberg double $\mathcal{H}_q^{\pm}(\mathfrak{g}) := \langle \mathbf{e}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{f}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{K'}_i^{\pm} \rangle$ *satisfying*

$$\frac{[\mathbf{e}_i^+, \mathbf{f}_j^+]}{q-q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{K'}_i^+, \qquad \frac{[\mathbf{e}_i^-, \mathbf{f}_j^-]}{q-q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{K}_i^-$$

and other standard quantum group relations.

Proposition

The embedding $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{i}_0)} \subset \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0^{op})} \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i})}$ *decomposes as*

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i^+ + \mathbf{K}_i^+\mathbf{e}_i^-, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{f}_i^- + \mathbf{K'}_i^-\mathbf{f}_i^+$$

$$\mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{K}_i^+\mathbf{K}_i^- \qquad \mathbf{K}'_i = \mathbf{K'}_i^+\mathbf{K'}_i^-$$

where $\mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0)}$, $\mathcal{H}_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0^{op})} \otimes 1$

Idea of Proof

Definition

The Heisenberg double $\mathcal{H}_q^{\pm}(\mathfrak{g}) := \langle \mathbf{e}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{f}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{K'}_i^{\pm} \rangle$ *satisfying*

$$\frac{[\mathbf{e}_i^+, \mathbf{f}_j^+]}{q-q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{K'}_i^+, \qquad \frac{[\mathbf{e}_i^-, \mathbf{f}_j^-]}{q-q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{K}_i^-$$

and other standard quantum group relations.

Proposition

The embedding $\mathcal{D}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{i}_0)} \subset \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0^{op})} \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i})}$ *decomposes as*

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i^+ + \mathbf{K}_i^+ \mathbf{e}_i^-, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{f}_i^- + \mathbf{K'}_i^- \mathbf{f}_i^+$$

$$\mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{K}_i^+ \mathbf{K}_i^- \qquad \mathbf{K}'_i = \mathbf{K'}_i^+ \mathbf{K'}_i^-$$



where $\mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow 1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0)}$, $\mathcal{H}_q^-(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0^{op})} \otimes 1$

Idea of Proof

Definition

The generalized Heisenberg double $\mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{q,\omega}(\mathfrak{g}) := \langle \mathbf{e}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{f}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{K}_i^{\pm}, \mathbf{K'}_i^{\pm} \rangle$

$$\frac{[\mathbf{e}_i^{+}, \mathbf{f}_j^{+}]}{q-q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{K'}_i^{+} + \omega_{ij}\mathbf{K}_i^{+}, \qquad \frac{[\mathbf{e}_i^{-}, \mathbf{f}_j^{-}]}{q-q^{-1}} = \delta_{ij}\mathbf{K}_i^{-} - \omega_{ij}\mathbf{K'}_i^{-}$$

and other standard quantum group relations, where $\omega_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition

If $\mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{q,\omega}(\mathfrak{g})$ *are commuting copies, then*

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_i^{+} + \mathbf{K}_i^{+}\mathbf{e}_i^{-}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{f}_i^{-} + \mathbf{K'}_i^{-}\mathbf{f}_i^{+}$$

$$\mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{K}_i^{+}\mathbf{K}_i^{-} \qquad \mathbf{K}'_i = \mathbf{K'}_i^{+}\mathbf{K'}_i^{-}$$

gives a homomorphic image of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$.

Idea of Proof

Definition

Let $J \subset I$. The double Dynkin involution *of $i \in I$ is the unique index $i^{**} \in I$ such that*

$$w_0 s_i = s_{i^*} w_0 = s_{i^*} w_J \overline{w} = w_J s_{i^{**}} \overline{w}.$$

$$\Longleftrightarrow i^{**} := (i^{*_W})^{*_{W_J}}$$

where $i^{*_{W_J}} = i$ if $i \notin J$.

Ex

$D_5 \subset E_6$



$2^{**_J} = 4^{*_J} = 0$

Decomposition Lemma



Lemma (*Decomposition Lemma*)

The embedding $\mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0)} \subset \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *can be decomposed into the form*

$$e_i^+ = \overline{e_i} + \overline{K_i} e_{i^{**}}^J, \qquad f_i^+ = f_i^J + {K'_i}^J \overline{f_i},$$
$$K_i^+ = K_{i^{**}}^J \overline{K_i}, \qquad {K'_i}^+ = {K'_i}^J \overline{K'_i}$$

where $e_i^J = f_i^J = 0$ *and* $K_i^J = {K'_i}^J = 1$ *if* $i \notin J$*, such that*

- $X_i^J \in \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes 1$ *and* $\overline{X_i} \in 1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for* $X = e, f, K, K'$
- $\{e_i^J, f_i^J, K_i^J, {K'_i}^J\} \simeq \mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ *in* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)}$ *where* $\mathfrak{g}_J \subset \mathfrak{g}$.
- *We have on* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for some* $\omega_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$,

$$\langle \overline{e_i}, \overline{f_i}, \overline{K_i}, \overline{K'_i} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q,\omega}^+(\mathfrak{g})$$

Decomposition Lemma

Lemma (*Decomposition Lemma*)

The embedding $\mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0)} \subset \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *can be decomposed into the form*

$$e_i^+ = \overline{e_i} + \overline{K_i} e_{i^{**}}^J, \qquad f_i^+ = f_i^J + {K'_i}^J \overline{f_i},$$

$$K_i^+ = K_{i^{**}}^J \overline{K_i}, \qquad {K'_i}^+ = {K'_i}^J \overline{K'_i}$$

where $e_i^J = f_i^J = 0$ *and* $K_i^J = {K'_i}^J = 1$ *if* $i \notin J$, *such that*

- $X_i^J \in \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes 1$ *and* $\overline{X_i} \in 1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for* $X = e, f, K, K'$
- $\{e_i^J, f_i^J, K_i^J, {K'_i}^J\} \simeq \mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ *in* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)}$ *where* $\mathfrak{g}_J \subset \mathfrak{g}$.
- *We have on* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for some* $\omega_{ij} \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$\langle \overline{e_i}, \overline{f_i}, \overline{K_i}, \overline{K'_i} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q,\omega}^+(\mathfrak{g})$$

Decomposition Lemma

Lemma (*Decomposition Lemma*)

The embedding $\mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0)} \subset \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *can be decomposed into the form*

$$e_i^+ = \overline{e_i} + \overline{K_i} e_{i^{**}}^J, \qquad f_i^+ = f_i^J + {K'_i}^J \overline{f_i},$$
$$K_i^+ = K_{i^{**}}^J \overline{K_i}, \qquad {K'_i}^+ = {K'_i}^J \overline{K'_i}$$

where $e_i^J = f_i^J = 0$ *and* $K_i^J = {K'_i}^J = 1$ *if* $i \notin J$, *such that*

- $X_i^J \in \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes 1$ *and* $\overline{X_i} \in 1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for* $X = e, f, K, K'$
- $\{e_i^J, f_i^J, K_i^J, {K'_i}^J\} \simeq \mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ *in* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)}$ *where* $\mathfrak{g}_J \subset \mathfrak{g}$.
- *We have on* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for some* $\omega_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$,

$$\langle \overline{e_i}, \overline{f_i}, \overline{K_i}, \overline{K'_i} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q,\omega}^+(\mathfrak{g})$$

Decomposition Lemma

Lemma (*Decomposition Lemma*)

The embedding $\mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_0)} \subset \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *can be decomposed into the form*

$$e_i^+ = \overline{e_i} + \overline{K_i} e_{i^{**}}^J, \qquad f_i^+ = f_i^J + {K'_i}^J \overline{f_i},$$

$$K_i^+ = K_{i^{**}}^J \overline{K_i}, \qquad {K'_i}^+ = {K'_i}^J \overline{K'_i}$$

where $e_i^J = f_i^J = 0$ *and* $K_i^J = {K'_i}^J = 1$ *if* $i \notin J$, *such that*

- $X_i^J \in \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)} \otimes 1$ *and* $\overline{X_i} \in 1 \otimes \mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for* $X = e, f, K, K'$
- $\{e_i^J, f_i^J, K_i^J, {K'_i}^J\} \simeq \mathcal{H}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ *in* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{i}_J)}$ *where* $\mathfrak{g}_J \subset \mathfrak{g}$.
- *We have on* $\mathcal{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})}$ *for some* $\omega_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$,

$$\langle \overline{e_i}, \overline{f_i}, \overline{K_i}, \overline{K'_i} \rangle \simeq \mathcal{H}_{q,\omega}^+(\mathfrak{g})$$

Proof of Lemma

- Decomposition of $\mathbf{f}_i, K'_i$ follows from explicit calculation using Feigin's embedding.
- Decomposition of $\mathbf{e}_i, K_i$ requires combinatorics of Coxeter moves:

Lemma (*I. (2020)*)

If $l(s_i w s_j) = l(w)$, then there is a sequence of Coxeter moves that brings the reduced word of $w \in W$:

$$\mathbf{i} = (i, ...,) \mapsto \mathbf{i}' = (..., j)$$

where the sequence of Coxeter moves splits into 2 stages, the second of which increase in indices consecutively from first to last letter.

Proof of Lemma

- Decomposition of $\mathbf{f}_i, K_i'$ follows from explicit calculation using Feigin's embedding.
- Decomposition of $\mathbf{e}_i, K_i$ requires combinatorics of Coxeter moves:

Lemma (*I. (2020)*)

If $l(s_iws_j) = l(w)$, then there is a sequence of Coxeter moves that brings the reduced word of $w \in W$:

$$\mathbf{i} = (i, ...,) \mapsto \mathbf{i}' = (..., j)$$

where the sequence of Coxeter moves splits into 2 stages, the second of which increase in indices consecutively from first to last letter.

Proof of Lemma

- Decomposition of $\mathbf{f}_i, K_i'$ follows from explicit calculation using Feigin's embedding.
- Decomposition of $\mathbf{e}_i, K_i$ requires combinatorics of Coxeter moves:

Lemma (*I. (2020)*)

If $l(s_iws_j) = l(w)$, then there is a sequence of Coxeter moves that brings the reduced word of $w \in W$:

$$\mathbf{i} = (i, ...,) \mapsto \mathbf{i}' = (..., j)$$

where the sequence of Coxeter moves splits into 2 stages, the second of which increase in indices consecutively from first to last letter.

Proof of Lemma

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_5$: $\mathbf{i} = (1, 2, 1, 3, 4, 3, 2, 3, 1, 2) \rightsquigarrow (\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots, 4)$?

Stage 1:

$$(1,2,1,3,4,3,2,3,1,2) \rightsquigarrow (1,2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1)$$

Stage 2:

$$\begin{aligned} &(1,2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1)\\ \rightsquigarrow&(2,1,2,3,2,1,4,3,2,1)\\ \rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,3,1,4,3,2,1)\\ \rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,3,4,3,2,1)\\ \rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,4,3,4,2,1)\\ \rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,4,1)\\ \rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1,4)\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma

Example

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_5$: $\mathbf{i} = (1,2,1,3,4,3,2,3,1,2) \rightsquigarrow (..........,4)$?

Stage 1:

$$(1,2,1,3,4,3,2,3,1,2) \rightsquigarrow (1,2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1)$$

Stage 2:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\underline{1,2,1,}3,2,1,4,3,2,1)\\
\rightsquigarrow&(2,1,\underline{2,3,2,}1,4,3,2,1)\\
\rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,\underline{3,1,}4,3,2,1)\\
\rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,\underline{3,4,3,}2,1)\\
\rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,4,3,\underline{4,2,}1)\\
\rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,\underline{4,1})\\
\rightsquigarrow&(2,1,3,2,1,4,3,2,1,4)
\end{aligned}$$

Example: E_6



$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset A_3 \subset D_4 \subset D_5 \subset E_6$$

Example: E_6



$$D_5 \subset E_6$$

Example: B_4



$$J = \{1,2,3\} \subset I = \{1,2,3,4\}, \qquad 1 = short$$

Further Discussions

- Lusztig's braid group action T_i as cluster mutations on $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$?

$$\mathbf{e}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{i^*}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{i^*}$$

- Geometric meaning of the cluster structure of $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$
  - partial configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}^{e}_{w}(\mathcal{A})$. [Goncharov-Shen]
- Combinatorial description of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$?
  - $\pi(\mathbf{e}_i), \pi(\mathbf{f}_i)$ are polynomials in X_i, not Laurent.
  - Type A_n: counting of cycles in dual plabic graphs.
- Tensor product decompositions of $\mathcal{P}^J_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{P}^{J'}_{\lambda'}$?
  - $\mathcal{R}$ matrix well-defined $\Longrightarrow$ new braided tensor category?
  - Study the spectrum of Casimir operators $\mathbf{C}_k$.
  - Proved for $J = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$. [Schrader-Shapiro]
- Generalization to other modules of affine quantum groups $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}})$?

Further Discussions

- Lusztig's braid group action T_i as cluster mutations on $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$?

$$\mathbf{e}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{i^*}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{i^*}$$

- Geometric meaning of the cluster structure of $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$
  - partial configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}_{\overline{w}}^{e}(\mathcal{A})$. [Goncharov-Shen]
- Combinatorial description of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$?
  - $\pi(\mathbf{e}_i), \pi(\mathbf{f}_i)$ are polynomials in X_i, not Laurent.
  - Type A_n: counting of cycles in dual plabic graphs.
- Tensor product decompositions of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}^{J'}$?
  - $\mathcal{R}$ matrix well-defined $\Longrightarrow$ new braided tensor category?
  - Study the spectrum of Casimir operators $\mathbf{C}_k$.
  - Proved for $J = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$. [Schrader-Shapiro]
- Generalization to other modules of affine quantum groups $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{R}}})$?

Further Discussions

- Lusztig's braid group action T_i as cluster mutations on $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$?

$$\mathbf{e}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{i^*}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{i^*}$$

- Geometric meaning of the cluster structure of $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$
  - partial configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}^{e}_{w}(\mathcal{A})$. [Goncharov-Shen]
- Combinatorial description of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$?
  - $\pi(\mathbf{e}_i), \pi(\mathbf{f}_i)$ are polynomials in X_i, not Laurent.
  - Type A_n: counting of cycles in dual plabic graphs.
- Tensor product decompositions of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}^{J'}$?
  - $\mathcal{R}$ matrix well-defined $\Longrightarrow$ new braided tensor category?
  - Study the spectrum of Casimir operators $\mathbf{C}_k$.
  - Proved for $J = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$. [Schrader-Shapiro]
- Generalization to other modules of affine quantum groups $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}})$?

Further Discussions

- Lusztig's braid group action T_i as cluster mutations on $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$?

$$\mathbf{e}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{i^*}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{i^*}$$

- Geometric meaning of the cluster structure of $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$
  - partial configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}_{w}^{e}(\mathcal{A})$. [Goncharov-Shen]
- Combinatorial description of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$?
  - $\pi(\mathbf{e}_i), \pi(\mathbf{f}_i)$ are polynomials in X_i, not Laurent.
  - Type A_n: counting of cycles in dual plabic graphs.
- Tensor product decompositions of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}^{J'}$?
  - $\mathcal{R}$ matrix well-defined $\Longrightarrow$ new braided tensor category?
  - Study the spectrum of Casimir operators $\mathbf{C}_k$.
  - Proved for $J = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$. [Schrader-Shapiro]
- Generalization to other modules of affine quantum groups $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}})$?

Further Discussions

- Lusztig's braid group action T_i as cluster mutations on $\mathbf{Q}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$?

$$\mathbf{e}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{f}_{i^*}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{e}_{i^*}$$

- Geometric meaning of the cluster structure of $\mathbf{D}(\bar{\mathbf{i}})$
  - partial configuration space $\mathrm{Conf}_{\frac{e}{w}}(\mathcal{A})$. [Goncharov-Shen]
- Combinatorial description of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{X}_q^{\mathbf{Q}}$?
  - $\pi(\mathbf{e}_i), \pi(\mathbf{f}_i)$ are polynomials in X_i, not Laurent.
  - Type A_n: counting of cycles in dual plabic graphs.
- Tensor product decompositions of $\mathcal{P}_\lambda^J \otimes \mathcal{P}_{\lambda'}^{J'}$?
  - $\mathcal{R}$ matrix well-defined $\Longrightarrow$ new braided tensor category?
  - Study the spectrum of Casimir operators $\mathbf{C}_k$.
  - Proved for $J = \emptyset$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$. [Schrader-Shapiro]
- Generalization to other modules of affine quantum groups $\mathcal{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathbb{R}})$?

Thank you for your attention!

Half-Dehn Twist



4 flips =



$$R = \mu_q \cdots \mu_q$$

acting as unitary operator on $P_\lambda \otimes P_\mu$.

$\simeq L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2N})$